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Chapter 1

Introduction

The problem of studying the motion of mutually interacting celestial bodies has a very long tradition, and as always gripped human minds.

A big step forward in the comprehension of such problem is due to Isaac Newton, which solved in 1687 in [16] the two-body problem, consisting in determining the motion of two point particles that mutually interact through gravity. After this achievement, Newton also tackled in the same work the three body problem, in particular the one involving the Moon, the Earth and the Sun, but he couldn’t manage to solve it.

The three-body problem became then a central topic in mathematical physics from the mid-1700s until the early 1900s. Various exact results were obtained for particular cases, but in the mid 1890’s Henri Poincaré proved in [21] that the full three-body problem could not be solved in terms of algebraic formulas and integrals; in other words, he proved the non integrability of the three-body problem.

Also, his work laid the foundations for Hamiltonain Perturbation Theory, which in turn lead to KAM theory ([12], [14], [1]) and Nekhoroshev Theorem [15], thanks to which a major step forward in Celestial Mechanics had been done.

The two-centre problem consists of a single particle attracted by two fixed ones. Such problem was shown to be integrable by Euler in the 1760’s ([7],[6]). Its solution is given in the form of a non-linear system involving elliptic integrals, as seen in [2]. A recent analysis of this problem have been performed in [22], [23] and [4]. It is also noteworthy the work of Ó’Mathúna, who devoted four chapters of his book [17] to the two-centre problem.

As presented in [19], it turns out that we can look at the hierarchical three body problem as a small perturbation of the two centre one.

It is precisely this point of view that constitutes the starting point of our
thesis, which finds its foundations in the two articles [19] and [20] and aims to provide a numerical investigation of the motions of the planar hierarchical three-body problem.

The structure of the thesis is as follows. We will first introduce in Chapter 2 the hierarchical three-body problem, following [19] and [20]. We will provide here an overview of the physical system and of its Hamiltonian, then we will introduce the two-centre problem and its Euler integral; finally at the end of the chapter we will recall a set of useful coordinates first introduced in [18] that will be used throughout our whole work.

In Chapter 3 we will analyse the planar two-centre problem. We will first find the second order normal form for degenerate systems, with all the details of the derivation. Next we will perform two perturbation steps on the Hamiltonian of the two-centre problem, providing phase portraits for both the first and the second order normal form. We will conclude comparing those with the phase portraits of the non-averaged Hamiltonian of the planar two-centre problem. Also, we will provide a detailed numerical analysis of a couple of particular orbits of this system.

Finally, in Chapter 4, we will report our numerical investigation of the planar hierarchical-tree body problem, providing a detailed analysis in some particular cases of the motion of all variables, as well as the spatial orbits of the three bodies. Here we also found that for some particular orbits the value of the Euler Integral stays almost constant for a finite time interval depending on the parameters and the initial point of the orbit, as can be seen in Figure 4.19.

Most of the code we have written and extensively used in our work is reported in Appendix.
Chapter 2

The System

In the following chapter we shall present the physical system we will be dealing with in this thesis.

2.1 The Hierarchical Three-Body Problem

The hierarchical three-body problem consists in tree point masses mutually interacting through gravity, with their masses in a hierarchical order. Namely, if we fix the largest mass $m_1$, the mass of the second body is $m_2 = \mu m_1$ and the mass of the third one is $m_3 = \varepsilon \mu m_1$, where $\mu$ and $\varepsilon$ are intended to be a couple of very small parameters, $\mu, \varepsilon \ll 1$. We will here provide a derivation of the hamiltonian of the system, following [19].

First of all we fix a orthonormal reference frame $(i, j, k)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Each mass $m_i$ has a position vector $q_i$ and momentum\(^1\) $p_i = m_i \dot{q}_i$.

This is an Hamiltonian problem, and the Hamiltonian is the following:\(^2\)

$$H(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\|p_i\|^2}{2m_i} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \frac{m_i m_j}{\|q_i - q_j\|}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the usual Euclidian norm.

We now perform an heliocentric reduction in order to eliminate the motion of the most massive body. This is done by fixing the coordinates of the center of mass of the system $Q$. The procedure is the following. Let $P$ be the total

\(^1\)We will indicate with a dot the time derivative $\frac{d}{dt}$

\(^2\)It is the sum of the kinetic and of the potential energy, with gravitational constant set to one.
Figure 2.1: The hierarchical three-body system after the heliocentric reduction.

linear momentum: \( \mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbf{p}_i = \text{const} \mathbf{Q} \), then consider the following change of variables

\[
\begin{aligned}
  x_1 &:= q_1 \\
  x_i &:= q_i - q_1 & i &= 2, 3 \\
  y_1 &:= \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{P} \\
  y_i &:= \mathbf{p}_i & i &= 2, 3.
\end{aligned}
\]

This change is a canonical transformation, since it is a linear one\(^3\). In this system the total linear momentum \( \mathbf{P} \) is a constant of motion, thus \( x_1 \) is a cyclic variable, and, on the manifold where \( \mathbf{Q} \) is constant, we have \( \mathbf{P} = 0 \). So we can conveniently fix \( x_1 = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{P} = 0 \), and now finding the reduced

\(^3\)By canonical we mean, as usual, that the standard 2-form

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} dp_i \wedge dq_i
\]

is preserved.
2.1. THE HIERARCHICAL THREE-BODY PROBLEM

Hamiltonian amounts to substitute into (2.1)

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 &= 0 \\
q_i &= x_i & i = 2, 3 \\
p_1 &:= -p_2 - p_3 \\
p_i &:= y_i & i = 2, 3.
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently, (2.1) becomes

\[
\tilde{H} = \frac{\|y_2 + y_3\|^2}{2m_1} + \frac{\|y_2\|^2}{2m_2} + \frac{\|y_3\|^2}{2m_3} - \frac{m_1m_2}{\|x_2\|} - \frac{m_1m_3}{\|x_3\|} - \frac{m_2m_3}{\|x_2 - x_3\|}
\]

but noticing that \(4 \|y_2 + y_3\|^2 = (y_2 + y_3) \cdot (y_2 + y_3)\) we obtain that

\[
\tilde{H} = \frac{\|y_2\|^2}{2} \left( \frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_1m_2} \right) + \frac{\|y_3\|^2}{2} \left( \frac{m_1 + m_3}{m_1m_3} \right) - \frac{m_1m_2}{\|x_2\|} - \frac{m_1m_3}{\|x_3\|} + \frac{y_2 \cdot y_3}{m_1} - \frac{m_2m_3}{\|x_2 - x_3\|},
\]

so defining

\[
m' = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{m_1m_2}{m_1 + m_2} = \frac{m_1}{1 + \mu}, \quad m = \frac{1}{\epsilon\mu} \frac{m_1m_3}{m_1 + m_3} = \frac{m_1}{1 + \epsilon\mu},
\]

\[
M' = m_1(1 + \mu), \quad M = m_1(1 + \epsilon\mu),
\]

and

\[
x_2 = y', \quad x_3 = y, \quad y_2 = y', \quad y_3 = y
\]

and noticing that \(m_2m_3 = \epsilon\mu^2m_1^2 = \epsilon\mu^2mM\), we find that

\[
\tilde{H}(X, Y) = \frac{\|y'\|^2}{2\mu m'} - \frac{\mu m'M'}{\|x'\|} + \frac{\|y\|^2}{2\epsilon\mu m} - \frac{\epsilon\mu mM}{\|x\|} + \frac{y' \cdot y}{m_1} - \frac{\epsilon\mu^2m}{\|x - x'\|}, \tag{2.2}
\]

where \(X = (x', x)\) and \(Y = (y', y)\).

We can still make another simplification by rescaling time and impulses as

\[
t = \mu\tilde{t}, \quad Y = \epsilon\mu\tilde{Y},
\]

so that the new Hamiltonian becomes

\[
\tilde{H}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) := \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{H}(X, \epsilon\mu\tilde{Y}).
\]

\(^4\text{We will denote with } \cdot \text{ the scalar product.}\)
Then, neglecting the "bars", we find that the Hamiltonian of the system can be written in the following form:

\[
H(X, Y) = - \frac{m'M'}{\|x'\|} + \varepsilon \left( \frac{\|y\|^2}{2m} - \frac{mM}{\|x\|} - \frac{\mu m M}{\|x - x'\|} \right) + \varepsilon^2 \left( \frac{\|y'\|^2}{2m'} + \frac{\mu}{m_1} y' \cdot y \right).
\]

We define

\[
h_0(x') := - \frac{m'M'}{\|x'\|},
\]

\[
h_1(y, x', x) := \frac{\|y\|^2}{2m} - \frac{mM}{\|x\|} - \frac{\mu m M}{\|x - x'\|},
\]

\[
f(y, y') := \frac{\|y'\|^2}{2m'} + \frac{\mu}{m_1} y' \cdot y,
\]

so that we can write \( H \) as

\[
H = h_0 + \varepsilon h_1 + \varepsilon^2 f.
\]

### 2.2 The Two-Centre Problem

Notice that the Hamiltonian \( h_1 \) is the Hamiltonian of the two-centre problem, which is the problem of determining the motions of one moving mass in the gravitational field generated by two fixed masses. In our case, the moving mass is \( m \) and the two fixed ones are \( M \) and \( \mu M \), which are posed, respectively, at the origin and at \( x' \). So this system is a good initial approximation for the three-body one.

From a purely mathematical point of view, the two-centre problem is very interesting, since it belongs to the the very restrictive class of Liouville-integrable dynamical systems. Its resolvability was first established by Euler in the 1760’s in [7, 6].

As done in [20, 19], for our aims it will be convenient to regard \( h_1 \) as a six degrees of freedom system, i.e. as a function of

\[
y', y \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0, x'\}.
\]

We define the angular momentum of the second and of the third body respectively as \( C' = x' \times y' \) and \( C = x \times y \). In the enlarged phase space the
vectors $\mathbf{x}'$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{tot}} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}'$ (the total angular momentum vector) are first integrals to $h_1$. We then have six conserved quantities, which however are not mutually in involution. It is anyway possible to extract out of such six quantities, the following four commuting ones:

$$
Z := \mathbf{C}_{\text{tot}} \cdot \mathbf{k}, \quad C := \|\mathbf{C}_{\text{tot}}\|, \quad \Theta := \frac{\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{x}'}{\|\mathbf{x}'\|}, \quad r' := \|\mathbf{x}'\|. \quad (2.5)
$$

Besides these, the integrability of $h_1$ relies on the existence of a further independent commuting first integral $J$, found by Euler and hence called Euler’s integral.

It is defined as follows. Notice first of all that $h_1$ can be written as

$$
h_1 = h_{\text{kep}} + \mu g,
$$

with

$$
h_{\text{kep}} = \frac{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2}{2m} - \frac{mM}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \quad g = -\frac{mM}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|}.
$$

Then, $J$ is defined by

$$
J = J_0 + \mu J_1, \quad (2.6)
$$

with

$$
J_0 := \|\mathbf{C}\|^2 - \mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{L}, \quad J_1 := m^2 M \frac{(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{x}'}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\|},
$$

where $\mathbf{L}$ is the Lenz vector associated to $h_{\text{kep}}$:

$$
\mathbf{L} := \mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{C} - m^2 M \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}.
$$

A derivation of $J$ can be found in [20, 19].

### 2.3 Canonical Coordinates

We will use in the rest of this thesis the set of canonical coordinates first introduced in [18]

$$
k = (Z, \Theta, G, \Lambda), \quad (z, \gamma, g, \ell), \quad (R', r'), \quad (2.7)
$$

as are defined in [20].

---

5Here $h_{\text{kep}}$ is the Hamiltonian which describes the keplerian orbits of the body of mass $m$ around a fixed one of mass $M$. Notice that $h_1$ reduces to $h_{\text{kep}}$ when $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'$. 
As seen in [20], the Hamiltonian (2.3) and the first integral \(J\) in (2.6) in the planar case becomes

\[
H = -\frac{m'M'}{r'} + \varepsilon E + \varepsilon^2 f
\]  
(2.8)

where

\[
E = -\frac{m^3M^2}{2\Lambda^2} - \mu \sqrt{r'^2 + 2r'^a\varrho \cos(g + \nu) + a^2\varrho^2}
\]  
(2.9)

\[
f = \frac{R'^2}{2m'} + \frac{(C - G)^2}{2r'^2m'} + \frac{\mu}{m_1} y'_k \cdot y_k
\]  
(2.10)

with

\[
y' \cdot y = -\frac{1}{\Lambda r(e \cos \zeta - 1)} \left( m^2M \left( \sin g \left( rR \cos \frac{\zeta}{\Lambda} - \sin \zeta(G + C) \right) + \cos g \left( \cos \frac{\zeta}{\Lambda} (G + C) + rR \sin \zeta \right) \right) \right)
\]

and

\[
J = J_0 + \mu J_1,
\]  
(2.11)

where

\[
J_0 = G^2 + m^2Mr' \sqrt{1 - \frac{G^2}{\Lambda^2} \cos g}
\]

\[
J_1 = m^2Mr' \frac{r' + a\varrho \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{G^2} \cos(g + \nu)}}{\sqrt{r'^2 + 2r'^a\varrho \cos(g + \nu) + a^2\varrho^2}}.
\]

We also report the formulae that expresses \((x, x', y, y')\) as a function of the variables \(k\): if we define

\[
R_1(\alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
R_3(\alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
e_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
then it holds

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x = R_3(z) R_1(\iota) R_3(\gamma) R_1(\iota_1) R_3(\theta) R_1(\iota_2) x_{d,pl} \\
y = R_3(z) R_1(\iota) R_3(\gamma) R_1(\iota_1) R_3(\theta) R_1(\iota_2) y_{d,pl} \\
x' = r' R_3(z) R_1(\iota) R_3(\gamma) R_1(\iota_1) k \\
y' = \frac{R'}{r'} x_k + \frac{1}{r'^2} \mathbf{C}' \times x'
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
x_{d,pl} = \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2 M} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \zeta - e \\ \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin \zeta \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
y_{d,pl} = \frac{m^2 M}{\Lambda_0} R_3(g - \frac{\pi}{2}) \begin{pmatrix} - \sin \zeta \\ \sqrt{1 - e^2} \cos \zeta \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
C_{tot} = CR_3(z) R_1(\iota) e_3
\]

\[
C = GR_3(z) R_1(\iota) R_3(\gamma) R_1(\iota_1) R_3(\theta) R_1(\iota_2) e_3
\]

\[
C' = C_{tot} - C = R_3(z) R_1(\iota) \left( C I - GR_3(\gamma) R_1(\iota_1) R_3(\theta) R_1(\iota_2) \right) e_3,
\]

where, if

\[
e = e(\Lambda, \mathbf{G}) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{G^2}{\Lambda^2}}
\]

is the eccentricity, then \( \zeta = \zeta(\Lambda, \mathbf{G}, \ell) \) is the eccentric anomaly, defined as the unique solution of the Kepler equation

\[
\ell = \zeta - e(\Lambda, \mathbf{G}) \sin \zeta,
\]

moreover

\[
g = g(\Lambda, \mathbf{G}, \ell) := 1 - e \cos \zeta,
\]

and finally \( \nu = \nu(\Lambda, \mathbf{G}, \ell) \) is the true anomaly, defined by

\[
\nu = \arg \left( \cos \zeta - e, \frac{G}{\Lambda} \sin \zeta \right).
\]
CHAPTER 2. THE SYSTEM
Chapter 3

The Unperturbed System

We now start our analysis from the unperturbed system in the planar case, which is the two-body one with Hamiltonian $E$ in (2.9). On such Hamiltonian, we will perform two perturbation steps in order to get a first approximation of the motion. We will first outline the general theory for a generic degenerate Hamiltonian system, then we shall provide the results for the Hamiltonian $E$. For an account on degenerate systems we refer to [9] and [10].

3.1 Two Perturbation Steps for Degenerate Systems

Consider a degenerate Hamiltonian system, with Hamiltonian in action-angle coordinates

$$H(I, \varphi, u, v) = h(I) + \mu f(I, \varphi, u, v), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu$ is a small parameter. We aim to find a near the identity canonical transformation\footnote{Namely, such that the new variables $\tilde{I}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ satisfies} that puts $H$ in a form independent of the angle $\varphi$ up to the order $\mu^2$. We will do so by using the so-called Lie Series method, which consists in constructing the canonical transformation as the time $\mu$ map of a generating Hamiltonian. You can find a general account of this method in [3],[8] and in [13], where is also considered the degenerate case.
Notations

We will first fix some notations. Given any two functions $F, G$ of $(I, \varphi, u, v)$, we shall denote as $\nabla F$ the gradient and with $E$ the symplectic identity:

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We will write their Poisson braket as

$$\{F, G\} = \nabla F \cdot E \nabla G = \{F, G\}_{I, \varphi} + \{F, G\}_{u, v} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial I} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial g}{\partial I} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}.$$ 

We will also write $\partial_I$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial I}$, and similarly for the other variables. Given a vector field $X$, we shall denote the Lie derivative associated to $X$ as $L_X$, and as $\phi^t_X$ the time $t$ flow of $X$. In the case of an Hamiltonian vector field $X_H$ associated to an Hamiltonian $H$, we will write for shortness $L_H$ and $\phi^t_H$. It holds for every $F, G$

$$F \circ \phi^s_G = e^{sL_G} F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{k!} L_G^k F.$$ 

Moreover, for any function $F(I, \varphi, u, v)$, we will write $\bar{F}(I, u, v)$ or $\langle F \rangle$ for the average over the angle $\varphi$:

$$\bar{F} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(I, \varphi, u, v) d\varphi,$$

and we define $\tilde{F} = F - \bar{F}$.

For the Hamiltonian $h(I)$ in (3.1) we define

$$\omega(I) := \frac{\partial h}{\partial I}(I).$$

Second-Order Normal Form

We will now prove the following

**Theorem 1.** Consider a degenerate Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian in action angle coordinates as in (3.1). Then there exists two generating Hamiltonians $\chi_1, \chi_2$ such that

$$H \circ \phi^k_{\chi_1} \circ \phi^\ell_{\chi_2} = h(I) + \mu \bar{f}(I, u, v) + \mu^2 (f_{21} + f_{22})(I, u, v) + O(\mu^3),$$

for all $(I, u, v)$.
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with

\[
f_{21}(I, u, v) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_l \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega} (f^2 - \bar{f}) d\varphi,
\]

\[
f_{22}(I, u, v) = \frac{1}{\omega} \left( -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \partial_u f(\alpha) \partial_v f(\alpha - \psi) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \partial_u f(\alpha) \partial_v f(\alpha - \psi) \right).
\]

Proof. It holds

\[
H \circ \phi_{\chi_1}^\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^k}{k!} L_{X_1}^k H
\]

\[
h + \mu \left( f + L_{X_1} h \right) + \mu^2 \left( L_{X_1} f + \frac{1}{2} L_{X_1}^2 h \right).
\]

We impose the term of \( \mu \) order to be independent of the angle \( \varphi \), so we must chose \( \chi_1 \) so that \( f + L_{X_1} h = g(I, u, v) \), but then taking the average over \( \varphi \) on both terms yields

\[
f + L_{X_1} h = \bar{f}.
\]

Notice that this also gives us

\[
L_{X_1} h = -\bar{f} \quad \implies \quad L_{X_1}^2 h = -L_{X_1} \bar{f}.
\]

We could find an analytical expression for \( \chi_1 \) by writing it and the perturbation in Fourier series expansion: since it holds

\[
L_{X_1} h = \{ h, \chi_1 \} = \omega \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial \varphi}
\]

we find the homological equation

\[
\omega \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial \varphi} = -f + \bar{f}, \tag{3.2}
\]

and so if we expand in Fourier series \( \chi_1 = \sum_k \hat{\chi}_k(I, u, v)e^{ik\varphi} \) and \( \bar{f} - f = \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{f}_k(I, u, v)e^{ik\varphi} \) we get from (3.2)

\[
\sum_k ik \hat{\chi}_k e^{ik\varphi} = -\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{f}_k e^{ik\varphi}.
\]
The harmonic $\hat{\chi}_0$ (which is equal to the $\varphi$--average of $\chi_1$) remains free, thus we can choose it to be zero. Finally we find that $\chi_1$ can be written as

$$\chi_1(I, \varphi, u, v) = -\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{\hat{f}_k}{ik\omega} e^{ik\varphi}. $$

So at the first perturbation step we have

$$H \circ \phi_{\chi_1}^\mu = h(I) + \mu \tilde{f} + \mu^2 \left( L_{\chi_1} f + \frac{1}{2} L_{\chi_1}^2 h \right). \quad (3.3)$$

Moving on to the second perturbation step, it holds

$$H \circ \phi_{\chi_1}^\mu \circ \phi_{\chi_2}^{\mu^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{2k}}{k!} L_{\chi_2}^k (H \circ \phi_{\chi_2}^\mu) = $$

$$= h + \mu \tilde{f} + \mu^2 \left( L_{\chi_1} f + L_{\chi_2} h + \frac{1}{2} L_{\chi_1}^2 h \right) + O(\mu^3).$$

The $\mu^2$ order term must become independent of the angle $\varphi$, so similarly to before we must chose $\chi_2$ in order to have$^2$

$$L_{\chi_1} f + L_{\chi_2} h + \frac{1}{2} L_{\chi_1}^2 h = \langle L_{\chi_1} f \rangle + \langle L_{\chi_1}^2 h \rangle =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle L_{\chi_1}^2 \hat{f} \rangle.$$ 

But

$$\langle L_{\chi_1}^2 \hat{f} \rangle = \langle \{ \hat{f}, \chi_1 \} \rangle = \langle \{ \hat{f}, \chi_1 \} \rangle_I,\varphi + \langle \{ \hat{f}, \chi_1 \} \rangle_{u,v},$$

so next we will write more explicitly the two terms on the left hand side, and this will bring us to $f_{21}$ and $f_{22}$ as written in the statement. We begin with

$^2$We recall that the derivative with respect to an angle of a periodic function must have null mean, so we have $\langle L_{\chi_2} h \rangle = 0.$
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the first term: it holds

\[
\frac{1}{2} \langle \{ \hat{f}_1, \chi \} \rangle_{I, \varphi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell, k \neq 0} \left\{ \hat{f}_k e^{ik\varphi}, -\frac{\hat{f}_\ell}{i\ell \omega} e^{i\ell\varphi} \right\} =
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell, k \neq 0} \left( - \partial_{\ell} \hat{f}_k \cdot \frac{\hat{f}_\ell}{\ell \omega} + i k \hat{f}_k \partial_{\ell} \frac{\hat{f}_\ell}{i\ell \omega} \right) e^{i(\ell+k)\omega} =
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \left( \partial_{\ell} \hat{f}_k \cdot \hat{f}_{-k} + \hat{f}_k \cdot \partial_{\ell} \hat{f}_{-k} \right) =
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \partial_{\ell} \left( \hat{f}_k \cdot \hat{f}_{-k} \right) = - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\ell} \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{f}_k \hat{f}_{-k} =
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_{\ell} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega} (f^2 - \bar{f}^2) d\varphi,
\]

And we have thus found \( f_{21} \). We used in \( \otimes \) the fact that taking the average is corresponds to taking \( k = -\ell \) and in \( \odot \) the Parseval identity:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f^2 d\varphi = \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{f}_k \hat{f}_{-k} + \bar{f}^2.
\]

We now move on to the second term: it holds

\[
\frac{1}{2} \langle \{ \hat{f}, \chi \} \rangle_{u,v} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \left\{ \hat{f}_k e^{ik\varphi}, \hat{f}_\ell e^{i(\ell + \ell)\varphi} \right\} =
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \left\{ \hat{f}_k e^{ik\varphi}, \hat{f}_{-k} \right\}_{u,v} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{ik\omega} \left( \partial_u \hat{f}_k \partial_v \hat{f}_{-k} + \partial_v \hat{f}_k \partial_u \hat{f}_{-k} \right) =
\]

\[
= \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{ik\omega} \partial_u \hat{f}_k \partial_v \hat{f}_{-k}.
\]

We then define the following "convolution" product for any function \( F, G \)

\[
(F * G)(\varphi) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\psi)G(\psi - \varphi) d\psi.
\]
If we can expand $F$ and $G$ in Fourier series 

$$
F(\phi) = \sum_k \hat{F}_k e^{ik\phi}, \quad G(\phi) = \sum_k \hat{G}_k e^{ik\phi},
$$

then 

$$(F \ast G)(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k,\ell} \hat{F}_k \hat{G}_\ell e^{ik\psi} e^{i(\psi - \phi)} d\psi = \sum_k \hat{F}_k \hat{G}_{-k} e^{ik\phi},$$

so $\hat{F}_k \hat{G}_{-k}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $F \ast G$. For another generic function $K = \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{K}_j e^{ij\phi}$ with null mean, it holds 

$$
\sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{K}_j e^{ij\phi} = \text{primitive of } K \text{ with null mean} = \int_0^\varphi K(\psi) d\psi - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^\varphi K(\psi) d\psi \right) d\varphi,
$$

so for $\varphi = 0$ we get 

$$
\sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{K}_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^\varphi K(\psi) d\psi \right) d\varphi.
$$

Taking $K = F \ast G - \langle F \ast G \rangle = \sum_{k \neq 0} \hat{F}_k \hat{G}_{-k} e^{ik\phi}$ yields 

$$
\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{\hat{F}_k \hat{G}_{-k}}{ik\omega} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^\varphi (F \ast G)(\psi) - \langle F \ast G \rangle d\psi \right) d\varphi = \frac{1}{\omega} \left( -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\varphi d\psi \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \ F(\alpha)G(\alpha - \psi) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \ F(\alpha)G(\alpha - \psi) \right),
$$

so finally if we take $F = \partial_u f$ and $G = \partial_v f$ we that $\frac{1}{2}(\{\hat{f}, \chi \}_u, v)$ is equal to $f_{22}$ in the statement, and this ends the proof. \hfill $\square$
3.2 Numerical Results for the Unperturbed System

3.2.1 First Order Approximation

Let’s come back to the Hamiltonian

\[ E = -\frac{m^3M^2}{2\Lambda^2} - \mu \frac{mM}{\sqrt{r'^2 + 2r'a\cos(g + \nu) + a^2\varrho^2}}, \]

which is the one of the two-body problem written in the aforementioned k-coordinates. If we collect inside the square root the term \( a^2 \), recalling that \( \delta = \frac{r'}{a} \), we get

\[ E = -\frac{m^3M^2}{2\Lambda^2} - \frac{\mu \cdot mM}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 2\delta \varrho \cos(g + \nu) + \varrho^2}} = \]

\[ = \frac{r'}{\mu mM} \left( -\frac{\mu \cdot mM \cdot m^3M^2}{2\Lambda^2} - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 2\delta \varrho \cos(g + \nu) + \varrho^2}} \right). \]

Now, it is possible to reabsorb the multiplicative constant with a rescaling of time. Also, since \( R' \) nor \( \ell \) are present in the Hamiltonian \( E \), the term \( -\frac{\mu \cdot mM \cdot m^3M^2}{2\Lambda^2} \) will stay constant, and thus we will neglect it. We will consider the rescaled variable \( \hat{G} := \frac{G}{\Lambda} \), and we will study the motion of the system averaged over the ”secular” variable \( \ell \), in order to provide a first approximation of the motion of the system in the plane \((g, \hat{G})\).

It will be useful to work with the eccentric anomaly \( \zeta \) instead of the mean anomaly \( \ell \). So, recalling the relations

\[ \ell = \zeta - e \sin \zeta, \quad \varrho = 1 - e \cos \zeta, \quad (3.4) \]

\[ \cos \nu = \frac{\cos \zeta - e}{1 - e \cos \zeta}, \quad \sin \nu = \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin \zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta}, \]

we have that the average of

\[ U := -\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 2\delta \varrho \cos(g + \nu) + \varrho^2}} \]

can be written as

\[ \bar{U} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U \, d\ell = -\frac{\delta}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - e \cos \zeta) \]

\[ \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta^2 + 2\delta (\cos g (\cos \zeta - e) - \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin g \sin \zeta) + (1 - e \cos \zeta)^2}} \, d\zeta. \]
So, since at first order the approximation of the Hamiltonian is given by its mean over the angle $\ell$, as seen in 1, we can thus get a first approximation of the motion by plotting the level sets of $\bar{U}$, and we get the pictures collected in 3.2.1. We remark that in such graphics it is not shown the separatrix, namely the level set through $(0,0)$, since for the points in it the denominator of $U$ goes to zero, and so we cannot see properly this level set numerically.

The Matlab code used in order to get such graphics is reported in A.1.1

**Level sets of $\bar{U}$**

![Figure 3.1: Case $\delta \in (0, 1)$, here it is shown the particular case $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$.

\[\text{Figure 3.1: Case } \delta \in (0, 1), \text{ here it is shown the particular case } \delta = \frac{1}{2}.\]
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Figure 3.2: Case $\delta \in (1, 2)$, here it is shown the particular case $\delta = \frac{3}{2}$.

Figure 3.3: Case $\delta > 2$, here it is shown the particular case $\delta = \frac{5}{2}$.
3.2.2 Second Order Approximation

We now move on to the second order approximation. Here we cannot make the same simplification that we have done at the first order by gathering some constants. We will thus consider the Hamiltonian (cfr. Theorem 1)

\[ H_2 := -\frac{m^3 M^2}{2 \Lambda^2} + \mu \tilde{V} + \mu^2 (V_{21} + V_{22}), \]

where

\[ V := \frac{m M}{\sqrt{r^2 + 2r'a q \cos(g + \nu)} + a^2 \bar{q}^2} \]

and so

\[ \tilde{V} = \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - e \cos \zeta) \cdot \frac{m M}{\sqrt{r^2 + 2r'a (\cos g \cos \zeta - e) - \sin g \sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin \zeta}} + a^2 (1 - e \cos \zeta)^2} d\zeta. \]

Then, noticing that \( \partial_\lambda \frac{1}{\omega} = \frac{3\Lambda^2}{m^3 M^2} \), we can express \( V_{21} \) as

\[ V_{21} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{3\Lambda^2}{m^3 M^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - e \cos \zeta)(\tilde{V}^2 - \tilde{V}) d\zeta + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^{2\pi} -\frac{G^2}{e\Lambda^3} \cos \zeta (\tilde{V}^2 - \tilde{V}) + (1 - e \cos \zeta)(\tilde{V}^2 - \tilde{V}) d\zeta \right) \]

and \( V_{22} \) as

\[ V_{22} = \frac{1}{4\pi \omega} \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\zeta \int_0^{\zeta - e \sin \zeta} dp \int_0^{2\pi} dv (1 - e \cos \zeta) \cdot (1 - e \cos p) \partial_\zeta \tilde{V}(v) \partial_\zeta \tilde{V}(v) \partial_\zeta \tilde{V}(v - p) + \right. \]

\[ \left. + \int_0^{2\pi} d\zeta \int_0^{2\pi} dp (1 - e \cos \zeta)(1 - e \cos p) \partial_\zeta \tilde{V}(v) \partial_\zeta \tilde{V}(v - p) \right). \]

Plotting the level sets of \( H_2 \) we get phase portraits which are very similar to what we got at the first order, and those are collected in the following sub paragraph.
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Level Sets of $H_2$

The following pictures were obtained\(^3\) using the Matlab Code reported in A.1.2. They represent the level sets of $H_2$ in the plane $(g, G)$. Notice the particular behaviour of the level sets very close to the separatrix: this phenomenon is due to numerical errors, since on the separatrix the denominator of $V$ goes to zero, and it was also present in the first order, but it was hidden by choosing energy values so that the corresponding level sets were not close to the separatrix. Here such choice was not possible.

Figure 3.4: Case $\delta \in (0, 1)$, here it is shown the particular case $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$.

\(^3\)Each picture needed roughly 5 and a half hours to complete on a desktop computer with a Intel Core i7-6700 CPU.
Figure 3.5: Case $\delta \in (1, 2)$, here it is shown the particular case $\delta = \frac{3}{2}$.

Figure 3.6: Case $\delta > 2$, here it is shown the particular case $\delta = \frac{5}{2}$. 
3.3. THE FULL PLANAR TWO-CENTRE PROBLEM

Setting the parameter $\sigma$ introduced in chapter 4 to zero allowed us to draw a projection of the motion in the plane $(g, G)$ for the two-centre problem\(^4\). In this section for every plot the value of the constants is

$$
\mu = 10^{-2}, \quad \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, \quad m_0 = 1, \quad C = 10^7.
$$

We report in Figure 3.7 the one relative to $\delta = 0.505$ and in Figure 3.8 the one relative to $\delta = 1.515$. As far as the other variables are concerned, we report the analysis of a couple of single orbits and the corresponding motion of the free body.

\(^4\)The Matlab code used is reported in A.3.
Figure 3.8: Projection of the motion in the $(g, G)$ plane for the two centre problem for $\delta = 1.511$, relative to the values of the parameters $\mu = 10^{-2}$, $\varepsilon = 10^{-7}$, $m_0 = 1$, $C = 10^7$. 
Figure 3.9: The spatial orbit made by the free body in the *two centre problem* for $\delta = 0.505$ and for the initial point $z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \ell_0, G_0, g_0, R_0', \nu_0', \gamma_0) = (\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.8, 1, 0, 1.01, 2)$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^9, 5 \cdot 10^9)$. 
Figure 3.10: The evolution of the variables \((\Lambda, \ell, g, G, r, R)\) for \(\delta = 0.505\) along the orbit starting from \(z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \ell_0, G_0, g_0, R_0', r_0', \gamma_0) = (\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.8, 1, 0, 1.01, 2)\) on the time interval \((-5 \cdot 10^9, 5 \cdot 10^9)\).
Figure 3.11: The spatial orbit made by the free body in the two centre problem for $\delta = 0.505$ and for the initial point $z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \ell_0, G_0, g_0, R_0', r_0', \gamma_0) = (\sqrt{2}, 3, 1.3, 1, 0, 1.01, 2)$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^9, 5 \cdot 10^9)$. 

Figure 3.12: The evolution of the variables $(\Lambda, \ell, g, G, r, R)$ for $\delta = 0.505$ along the orbit starting from $z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \ell_0, G_0, g_0, R'_0, r'_0, \gamma_0) = (\sqrt{2}, 3, 1.3, 1, 0, 1.01, 2)$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^9, 5 \cdot 10^9)$. 
Chapter 4

The Full Perturbed System

Consider now the full planar perturbed system with Hamiltonian (2.8). We shall present an analysis of the dynamic of the system based on a numerical integration of the flow. As done in the previous chapter, it will be useful to work with the eccentric anomaly $\zeta$ instead of the mean one $\ell$, so that we do not need to solve the Kepler equation

$$\zeta - e(\Lambda, G) \sin \zeta = \ell. \quad (4.1)$$

The Hamiltonian (2.8) is a function of the variables $(\Lambda, G, R, \ell, g, r)$. We define $\tilde{H}(\Lambda, G, R', \zeta, g, r')$ as the Hamiltonian (2.8) expressed using the eccentric anomaly:

$$H(\Lambda, G, R', \ell, g, r') = \tilde{H}(\Lambda, G, R', \zeta(\Lambda, G, \ell), g, r'), \quad (4.2)$$

and it holds

$$\tilde{H} = -\frac{m'M'}{r'} + \varepsilon(-\frac{m^3M^2}{2\Lambda^2} + \tilde{V}) + \varepsilon^2 f, \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$\tilde{V} := \frac{mM}{\sqrt{r'^2 + 2r'a (\cos g (\cos \zeta - e) - \sin g \sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin \zeta}) + a^2 (1 - e \cos \zeta)^2}},$$

and $f$ as in (2.10).

Since the variables $(\Lambda, G, R', \zeta, g, r')$ are not Hamiltonian, we will first need to derive the equations for the Hamiltonian vector field.
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Proposition 1. The equations for the Hamiltonian vector field associated to \( \tilde{H} \) are the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\Lambda} &= \frac{\tilde{H}_\zeta}{1 - \cos \zeta} \\
\dot{G} &= \tilde{H}_g \\
\dot{R} &= \tilde{H}_r \\
\dot{\zeta} &= \frac{1}{1 - e \cos \zeta} \left( \frac{G^2}{e \Lambda^3} \frac{\sin \zeta \tilde{H}_\zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta} - \frac{G}{e \Lambda^2} \sin \zeta \tilde{H}_g - \left( \tilde{H}_\Lambda + \frac{G^2}{e \Lambda^3} \frac{\sin \zeta \tilde{H}_\zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta} \right) \right) \\
\dot{g} &= -\tilde{H}_G + \frac{G}{e \Lambda^2} \frac{\sin \zeta \tilde{H}_\zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta} \\
\dot{r} &= -\tilde{H}_R.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.4)

Proof. From (4.2) and (4.1) it follows that

- \( \dot{\Lambda} = H_\ell = \tilde{H}_\zeta \zeta_\ell = \tilde{H}_\zeta \frac{1}{1 - e \cos \zeta} \)
- \( \dot{G} = H_g = \tilde{H}_g \)
- \( \dot{R} = H_r = \tilde{H}_r \)
- \( \dot{\zeta} = \zeta_\Lambda \dot{\Lambda} + \zeta_G \dot{G} + \zeta_\ell \dot{\ell} = \zeta_\Lambda H_\ell + \zeta_G H_g - \zeta_\ell H_\Lambda \) where \( \zeta_\Lambda, \zeta_G \) and \( \zeta_\ell \) can be found from deriving (4.1) and recalling that \( \zeta \) is a function of \( (\Lambda, G, \ell) \).

It turns out that

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_\Lambda &= \frac{e_\Lambda \sin \zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta} = \frac{G^2}{e \Lambda^3} \frac{\sin \zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta}, \\
\zeta_G &= \frac{e_G \sin \zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta} = -\frac{G}{e \Lambda^2} \frac{\sin \zeta}{1 - e \cos \zeta}, \\
\zeta_\ell &= \frac{1}{1 - e \cos \zeta}
\end{align*}
\]

while \( H_\ell, H_g \) have been already computed, and \( H_\Lambda = \tilde{H}_\Lambda + \tilde{H}_\zeta \zeta_\Lambda \).
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\[ \dot{g} = -H_G = -\tilde{H}_G - \tilde{H}_\zeta \zeta_G, \text{ and finally} \]

\[ \dot{r} = -H_R = -\tilde{H}_R. \]

We add to the equations in (4.4) the component relative to the angle \( \gamma \), which is the variable conjugated to \( C \), so it holds

\[ \dot{\gamma} = -H_C. \]

This angle will be useful for plotting the spatial configuration of the three bodies during the motion, since it appears in the formulae (2.13).

In order to better understand the contribution of the perturbation to the dynamic of the system we introduced in our programs a parameter \( \sigma \) in place of \( \varepsilon^2 \) in the Hamiltonian (4.3), so that we could introduce the perturbation gradually.

We used Mathematica\(^1\) in order to derive the explicit formula of the Hamiltonian vector field. We have then solved numerically the Hamiltonian ODEs using the Matlab Code reported in A.2.3.

In order to have a feedback on our analysis, we always checked the conservation of the Hamiltonian \( H \) along a solution. This has been done by computing the norm of the difference between a vector \( \mathbf{v}_0 \) with each component being constantly equal to the value of the energy \( H \) at the initial point and another vector \( \mathbf{v} \) formed by the value of the energy on each point of the calculated orbit.

4.1 Numerical Results

We now present some of the results we obtained varying the parameters. We will call \( z_0 \) the initial value:

\[ z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \ell_0, G_0, g_0, R_0', r_0'), \]

and with \( \delta_0 \) the initial value of \( \delta \).

We found that in order to have the conservation of the energy along an orbit it seems to be necessary that \( C \sim \frac{1}{2} \).

For the moment consider this choice of the parameters:

\[ \mu = 10^{-2}, \quad \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, \quad m_0 = 1, \quad C = 10^7. \]

\(^1\)The Mathematica Notebook used is reported in A.2.1.
We define \( r_0' \) as the value of \( r' \) that corresponds to the circular motions of the second body\(^2\):

\[
r_0' := \varepsilon^2 \frac{(C - G)^2}{M'm^2}.
\]

We report in Figure 4.1, Figure 4.2 and Figure 4.3 three phase portraits in the plane in the plane \((g, G)\) for increasing values of \( \sigma \). The orbits drawn correspond to the initial points

\[
(\Lambda_0, \ell_0, G_0, g_0, R'_0, r'_0) = (\sqrt{2}, 3, G, 2.8, 0, \varepsilon^2 \frac{(C - G)^2}{M'm^2}),
\]

with \( G = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1, 1.3, -1.3, -\Lambda + 0.1 \). We can see that for \( \sigma = \varepsilon^4 \) the variation from the phase portrait of the two-centre problem in Figure 3.7 is very minimal. Already with \( \sigma = \varepsilon^3 \) we see that some of the orbits below the separatrix start to open up into lines, and finally with \( \sigma = \varepsilon^2 \) we see that the true perturbation alters radically the projection of the motion in the plane \((g, G)\). Lowering the value of \( \varepsilon \) has the effect of making the lines in the \((g, G)\) plane more defined, as can be seen in Figure 4.4. The same behaviour is also true for higher values of \( \delta_0 \).

In the next pages we report the evolution of all variables and the spatial configuration of the three bodies in the for a couple of particular

### 4.1.1 Collisions

**Collision of the Second Body with the First One**

We found that starting from the initial value \( z_0 = (\sqrt{2}, 1, 0.5, 3, 0, 0.3r'_0, 2) \), and integrating on the time span \((-3 \cdot 10^8, 3 \cdot 10^8)\) yields to a collision of the second body with the first one, as seen in Figure 4.11. The parameters had been chosen as follows: \( \mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, \sigma = \varepsilon^2, C = 1.2e^{-1} \). As expected, the conservation of the energy does not hold in this case. The evolution of all variables is reported in 4.12 For this particular case we also report the evolution of \( \delta \), of the first integral \( J \) and the evolution of the eccentricity of the third body on every point of the orbit, respectively in Figure 4.13, in Figure 4.14 and in Figure 4.15. This behaviour holds for every value of \( G \).

\(^2\)Such value of \( r_0' \) corresponds to the value of \( r' \) for which the potential

\[
-\varepsilon \frac{mM}{r'} + \varepsilon^2 \frac{(C - G)^2}{2r'm^2}
\]

reaches its minimum point.
Collision of the Third Body with the First One

Starting from an initial point close to the separatrix\(^3\) yields to a collision of the third body with the first one. We analysed a special case, namely the orbit starting from the initial point

\[(\sqrt{2}, 1, 0.01, 0.01, 0, \varepsilon^2 \frac{(C - G)^2}{m^2 M}, 2),\]

leaving the parameters with the same values that had been fixed in the previous subsection. We thus report all the graphics that we reported for the collision of the second body with the comparison in Figure 4.16, Figure 4.17, Figure 4.18, in Figure 4.19 and in Figure 4.20.

\(^3\)Which we recall being the level set through the point \((g, G) = (0, 0)\).
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Figure 4.1: Projection of the motion in the plane \((g, G)\) for \(\sigma = \varepsilon^4\).

Figure 4.2: Projection of the motion in the plane \((g, G)\) for \(\sigma = \varepsilon^3\).
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Figure 4.3: Projection of the motion in the plane \((g, G)\) for \(\sigma = \varepsilon^2\).

Figure 4.4: Projection of the motion in the plane \((g, G)\) for \(\sigma = \varepsilon^2\) and \(\varepsilon = 10^{-11}\).
Figure 4.5: Spatial configurations of the three bodies for $\delta_0 = 0.505, \sigma = \varepsilon^2$ with $\mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, C = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^8, 5 \cdot 10^8)$ relative to the initial point $(\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.5, 3, 0, \varepsilon^2 (C-G)/m^2 M, 2)$.

Figure 4.6: The evolution of all variables corresponding to the orbit for $\delta_0 = 0.505, \sigma = \varepsilon^2$ with $\mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, C = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^8, 5 \cdot 10^8)$ relative to the initial point $(\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.5, 3, 0, r'_0, 2)$. 
Figure 4.7: Spatial configurations of the three bodies for $\delta_0 = 1.515, \sigma = \varepsilon^2$ with $\mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, C = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^8, 5 \cdot 10^8)$ relative to the initial point $(\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.5, 3, 0, \varepsilon^2(C-G)^2/m^2M, 2)$.

Figure 4.8: The evolution of all variables corresponding to the orbit for $\delta_0 = 1.515, \sigma = \varepsilon^2$ with $\mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, C = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on the time interval $(-5 \cdot 10^8, 5 \cdot 10^8)$ relative to the initial point $(\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.5, 3, 0, \varepsilon^2(C-G)^2/m^2M, 2)$. 
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Figure 4.9: Spatial configurations of the three bodies for $\delta_0 = 1.515$, $\sigma = \varepsilon^2$ and $C = 1.2\varepsilon^{-1}$, with $\mu = 10^{-3}$, $\varepsilon = 10^{-7}$, $C = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on the time interval $(-3 \cdot 10^8, 3 \cdot 10^8)$ relative to the initial point $(\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.3, 3, 0.5, 0.7r_0', 2)$.

Figure 4.10: The evolution of all variables corresponding to the orbit for $\delta_0 = 1.515$, $\sigma = \varepsilon^2$ and $C = 1.2\varepsilon^{-1}$, with $\mu = 10^{-3}$, $\varepsilon = 10^{-7}$, $C = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on the time interval $(-3 \cdot 10^8, 3 \cdot 10^8)$ relative to the initial point $(\sqrt{2}, 3, 0.3, 3, 0.5, 0.7r_0', 2)$. 
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Figure 4.11: Spatial configuration of the three bodies during a collision of the second body with the first one.

(a) Detail of the collision near the first body.  
(b) The full spatial configuration in the collision.
Figure 4.12: The evolution of all variables corresponding to a collision of the second body with the first one. The value of the parameters are and $\mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, \sigma = \varepsilon^2, C = 1.2\varepsilon^{-1}$. The time interval $(-3 \cdot 10^8, 3 \cdot 10^8)$. The initial point is $(\sqrt{2}, 1, 0.5, 3, 0, 0.7r_0', 2)$.

Figure 4.13: Evolution of the value of the parameter $\delta$ during the motion.
4.1. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Figure 4.14: Evolution of the value of the first integral $J$ during the motion.

Figure 4.15: Evolution of the eccentricity of the third body during the motion.
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(a) Detail of the collision near the first body.

(b) The full spatial configuration in the collision.

Figure 4.16: Spatial configuration of the three bodies during a collision of the second body with the first one.

Figure 4.17: The evolution of all variables corresponding to a collision of the third body with the first one. The value of the parameters are and $\mu = 10^{-3}, \varepsilon = 10^{-7}, \sigma = \varepsilon^2, C = \varepsilon^{-1}$. The time interval $(-3 \cdot 10^7, 3 \cdot 10^7)$. The initial point is $(\sqrt{2}, 1, 0.01, 0.01, 0, \varepsilon^2(C-G)^2/m^2M, 2)$. 
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Figure 4.18: Evolution of the value of the parameter $\delta$ during the motion.

Figure 4.19: Evolution of the value of the first integral $J$ during the motion.
Figure 4.20: Evolution of the eccentricity of the third body during the motion.
Appendix A

Code

We will collect here the code used to obtain the graphics in the thesis. The code was written mainly in Matlab\(^1\), but very often it was useful to first make some symbolic manipulations in Mathematica\(^2\) in order to derive the formulae, and then to export them in Matlab Code using the Mathematica ToMatlab.m package\(^3\). When relevant, we will also provide the Mathematica Code.

A.1 Unperturbed System

A.1.1 First Perturbation Step

```matlab
function [Uc]=phase_portrait_step1(delta)
f=@(u,delta,g,G)
(-1/2).*delta.*pi.ˆ(-1).*(1+(-1).*(1+(-1).*G.ˆ2).ˆ(1/2).*cos(u)).*(...
delta.ˆ2+(1+(-1).*(1+(-1).*G.ˆ2).ˆ(1/2).*cos(u)).ˆ2+2.*delta.*(cos(g).*(...
(-1).*((1+(-1).*G.ˆ2).ˆ(1/2)+cos(u))+(-1).*G.*sin(g).*sin(u))).ˆ(-1/2);
%The first order averaged energy.
U=@(g,G) integral(@(u) f(u,delta,g,G),0,2.*pi);
%The level sets.
Uc=fcontour(U,[0,2*pi,-1,1])
Uc.LineColor='Black';
```

\(^3\)This package is available at http://library.wolfram.com/infcen/MathtSource/577/.
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A.1.2 Second Perturbation Step

```matlab
function phase_portrait, step2(delta)
% We fix the parameters.
a=1; r=delta; m=(1+10E-6).^(-1); M=1+10E-6; L=m*sqrt(M*a); ... 
\ mi=10^{-4};
h=-(m.^3+M.^2)/(2.*L.^2);
omega=(m^3*M^2)./L^3;
% the inverse of the derivative of omega with respect to L.
invomegaL=3.*L.^2.*m.\(^{-3})*M.\(^{-2});
% The eccentricity
e=@(L,G)sqrt(1-(G.^2)/(L.^2));
% The "perturbation"
f =@(g,G,u) (-m.*M)/(sqrt(r.^2+2.*a.*r.*(cos(g).*... 
(\cos(u)-e(L,G))-sqrt(1-e(L,G)^2).*sin(g).*sin(u))+... 
a.^2*(1-e(L,G).*cos(u)).^2));
% The derivatives of f needed in the second perturbation step
fquadroL=@(g,G,u) (-2).*a.*L.\(^{-3})*m.\(^2)*M.\(^2)*... 
((-1).*G.^2.*((-1).*G.^2.*L.\(^{-2})).\(^2)+(-1).*G.^2.*L.\(^{-2})).\(^2)+cos(u)... 
+G.\(^2.*L.\(^{-2})).\(^2)+\sin(u)).^2; 
\L.\(^2)+cos(u)).^(-3/2); 
```

The code above defines a function `phase_portrait, step2` that computes the phase portrait for a second perturbation step in a dynamical system. It includes calculations for parameters such as eccentricity and derivatives of functions needed for the perturbation calculations.

The code is self-contained and demonstrates a method for calculating the phase portrait of a dynamical system through a second perturbation step. It involves defining functions for parameters and calculating the eccentricity and perturbation terms, along with their derivatives, which are crucial for understanding the system's behavior at higher orders of approximation.
\[ \begin{align*}
&\text{A.1. UNPERTURBED SYSTEM} \\
&\text{fg}=@(g,G,u) a.*m.*M.*r.*((1+(1-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2) +(-1).*cos(u)).*sin(g)+(-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*\ \\
&\quad \cos(g).*sin(u).*r.ˆ2+((1+(-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*cos(u)).*sin(g)+(-1).*(G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*cos(g).*sin(u))\ \\
&\quad *((1+(1-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*cos(u)).^2+2.*a.*r.*(cos(g).*((-1).*(1+(-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2)+cos(u))\ \\
&\quad +(-1).*(G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*sin(g).*sin(u))}.ˆ(-3/2); \\
&\text{fG}=@(g,G,u) (1/2).*m.*M.*(2.*a.*G.*(1+(-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(-1/2).*L.ˆ(-2).*r.*cos(g)+2.*a.ˆ2.*G.*L.ˆ(-2).*\ \\
&\quad ((1+(-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(-1/2)+(-1).*cos(u))*cos(u)+(-2).*\ \\
&\quad a.*G.ˆ(-1).*(G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*r.*sin(g).*sin(u))\ \\
&\quad *(r.ˆ2+(a+(1-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*cos(u)).^2\ \\
&\quad +2.*a.*r.*(cos(g).*((-1).*G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2)+cos(u))\ \\
&\quad +(-1).*(G.ˆ2.*L.ˆ(-2)).ˆ(1/2).*sin(g).*sin(u))}.ˆ(-3/2); \\
&\% The first part of the second order term \\
&\text{term21}=@(g,G) -0.5.*(invomegaL.*((1/(2.*pi)).*integral(@(u)\ \\
&\quad (1-e(L,G).*cos(u)).*(f(g,G,u).^2 -f(g,G,u)),0,2.*pi))\ \\
&\quad +omega.ˆ(-1).*(2.*pi).ˆ(-1).*integral(@(u) \ \\
&\quad -(G.ˆ2).*cos(u)\ \\
&\quad /(L.ˆ3.*e(L,G)).*(1-e(L,G).*cos(u)).*(f(g,G,u).^2 \ \\
&\quad -f(g,G,u)))+\ \\
&\quad (1-e(L,G).*cos(u)).*(fquadroL(g,G,u) -fL(g,G,u)),0,2.*pi)); \\
&\% The second part of the second order term \\
&\text{int1}=@(g,G,u,p,v) \ \\
&\quad (1-e(L,G).*cos(u)).*(1-e(L,G).*cos(p)).*fG(g,G,v).*fg(g,G,v-p); \\
&\text{int2}@=(g,G,u,p) \ \\
&\quad (1-e(L,G).*cos(u)).*(1-e(L,G).*cos(p)).*fG(g,G,p).*fg(g,G,p-u); \\
&\text{term22}=@(g,G) \ \\
&\quad (4.*pi.*omega).ˆ(-1).*(-pi.ˆ(-1).*integral3(@(u,p,v)\ \\
&\quad int1(g,G,u,p,v),\ \\
&\quad 0,2.*pi,0,\ \\
&\quad @(u) u-e(L,G).*sin(u),0,2*pi)+integral2(@(u,p) \ \\
&\quad int2(g,G,u,p),0,2*pi)+integral2(@(u,p) \ \\
&\quad int2(g,G,u,p),0,2*pi)); \\
&\% The second order hamiltonian \\
&\text{H2}=@(g,G) h+mi.*integral(@(u)f(g,G,u),0,2.*pi)+\ \\
&\quad (miˆ2).*term21(g,G)+term22(g,G));
\end{align*} \]
% Grid on the (g,G) plane
gv=linspace(0,2*pi,100);
Gv=linspace(-L,L,100);
[X,Y]=meshgrid(gv,Gv);

% Values of H2 over the grid
Z=zeros(100);
parfor i=1:100
    for j=1:100
        Z(i,j)=H2(gv(i),Gv(j));
    end
end

% Plot of the energy levels
figure
[C,h]=contour(X,Y,Z.',100);
h.LineColor='Black';
end

\section{The Perturbed System}

\subsection{Derivation of the Hamiltonian Vector Field}

In order to derive the formulae for the Hamiltonian vector field in (4.4) we first wrote the following Mathematica Notebook to get a good starting point for the code.
Derivation of Matlab Formulae

We load the ToMatlab package

```mathematica
SetDirectory[NotebookDirectory[]];
<< ToMatlab.m
```

The eccentricity is defined as

```mathematica
e[\Lambda, G] := \sqrt{1 - \frac{G^2}{\Lambda^2}}
```

The Hamiltonian of the planar hierarchical three body problem, expressed using the eccentric anomaly \( \zeta \) and substituting \( \sigma \) in place of \( \varepsilon^2 \) is the following:

```mathematica
H3Bs[{\Lambda, G, g, R, r}] :=
- \frac{mp \, Hp}{r} + \varepsilon \left( \frac{-m^3 H^2}{2 \Lambda^2} + \mu \left( \frac{-m M}{2} \right) \sqrt{r^2 + 2 r \frac{\Lambda^2}{M \, m^2} \left( \cos[g] \left( \cos[\zeta] - e[\Lambda, G] \right) - \sqrt{1 - e[\Lambda, G]^2} \sin[g] \sin[\zeta] \right) + \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{M \, m^2} \right)^2 \left( 1 - e[\Lambda, G] \cos[\zeta] \right)^2} \right)

+ \sigma \left( \frac{R^2}{2 mp} + \frac{(nCt - G)^2}{2 r^2 mp} + \frac{\mu}{m \theta} \left( \frac{m^2 M}{2} \sin[g] \left( r \, R \, \sqrt{\frac{G^2}{\Lambda^2}} \cos[\zeta] - (G + nCt) \sin[\zeta] + \cos[g] \left( G + nCt \right) \sqrt{\frac{G^2}{\Lambda^2}} \cos[\zeta] + r \, R \, \sin[\zeta] \right) \right) \right) / (r \, \sqrt{1 - \frac{G^2}{\Lambda^2}} \, \Lambda \, \cos[\zeta])
```

```mathematica
H3Bs[{\Lambda, G, g, R, r}] /. {\Lambda \rightarrow a1, G \rightarrow a2, G \rightarrow a3, g \rightarrow a4, R \rightarrow a5, r \rightarrow a6, \varepsilon \rightarrow \text{eps}, \mu \rightarrow m1};
```

```mathematica
WriteMatlab[%, "H3Bs.m"]
```

Derivation of the Hamiltonian vector field:
\( \begin{align*} 
\text{Derivazione formule per Matlab.nb} \\
\text{// Simplify;}
\end{align*} \)
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Then, we wrote the following Matlab function to declare the constants. Notice that the particular values for \( m_i \), \( \varepsilon \), \( \sigma \) and \( n_{Ct} \) had been changed according to our various attempts.

```matlab
function \([m_i, \varepsilon, m_0, m_p, M, M_p, M, n_{Ct}] = \text{constants}()\)

\( m_0 = 1; \)
\( m_p = m_0/(1 + m_i); \quad \% \text{corresponding to } m' \)
\( m = m_0/(1 + m_i \varepsilon); \)
\( M = m_0 \times (1 + \varepsilon \times m_i); \)
\( \sigma = \varepsilon^2; \)
\( n_{Ct} = 1e7; \quad \% \text{corresponding to the } C \text{ variable} \)

end
```

Finally, the Matlab Code obtained polishing the output of Mathematica is the following.

```matlab
function \( y = H3Bs(z_0) \)
% It computes the value of the Hamiltonian of the
% hierarchical three-body problem on a point.
% Input: a real-valued vector.
% Output: a real number corresponding to the value of the
% Hamiltonian in the point given as input

\([m_i, \varepsilon, \sigma, m_0, m_p, m, M, M_p, M, n_{Ct}] = \text{constants}() ;\)

13 \( y = H3Bs(z_0) ; \)
end
```

```matlab
function \( dz = \text{vector}_\text{field}_\sigma(t, x) \)
% \([m_i, \varepsilon, \sigma, m_0, m_p, m, M, M_p, M, n_{Ct}] = \text{constants}() ;\)

end
```
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A.2.2 Spatial Positions of the Bodies

In order to get the spatial position of the second and of the third body we implemented in Matlab the functions (2.12) with the choice \( z = 0 \) and \( \iota = 0 \) (which corresponds to fix \( Z=C \)).

```matlab
function posp=xp(z0)

% This function returns the 3x3 vector of the positions of the second and of the third body.
% body, with the choice of z=0 and i=0 (which corresponds to fix Z=C).
% Input: initial point of the orbit. It must be of lenght 7.
% Output: a 1x3 vector corresponding to the spatial position of the second body.

[mi,eps,sigma,m0,mp,M,Mp,M,nCt]=constants_sigma();

xp=@(z) [z(6).*sin(z(7)),(-1).*z(6).*cos(z(7)),0];

posp=xp(z0);
end
```
function pos=x(z0)

% This function returns the spatial position of the third body, with the choice of z=0 and i=0 (which corresponds to fix Z=C).
% Input: initial point of the orbit. It must be of lenght 7.
% Output: a 1x3 vector corresponding to the spatial position of the third body.

[mi,eps,sigma,m0,mp,m,Mp,M,nCt]=constants_sigma();

x=@(z)
    [z(1).^2.*m.\(2).*M.\(-1).*(((-1).*(1+(-1).*z(1).\(-2).*z(3).\2)...\(1/2)+cos(z(2)))*cos(z(7)).*sin(z(4))+(-1).*cos(z(4)).*sin(z(7)))...+\(z(1).\(-2).*z(3).\2)...\(1/2)+sin(z(2)).*(cos(z(4)).*cos(z(7))...+
        +sin(z(4)).*sin(z(7))));z(1).^2.*m.\(-1).*(z(1).\(-2).*z(3)...\2)...\(1/2).*sin(z(2)).*(-1).*cos(z(7)).*sin(z(4))+cos(z(4)).*...+
        sin(z(7)))+((-1).*(1+(-1).*z(1).\(-2).*z(3).\2)...\(1/2)+cos(z(2)));]*...+(cos(z(4)).*cos(z(7))+sin(z(4)).*sin(z(7))));0];

pos=x(z0);
end

A.2.3 Numerical Solution of the Hamiltonian ODEs

For the actual numerical solutions of the Hamiltonian ODEs we used the following Matlab code. The ode solver ode23s has been chosen because for particular initial values the differential equations are stiff.

function [z,t]=sol_sigma(z0,Ti,Tf)

% This function provides and approximation of the flux of the Hamiltonian vector field with initial point z0 on the time interval [Ti,Tf].
% Input % z0: initial value, it must be a row vector.
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% Ti: it represents the approximation of the solution backward in time, so it must be a negative real number.
% Tf: the final time of approximation

opts = odeset('Refine',6);
[s,u] = ode23s(@vector_field_sigma, [0 Ti], z0,opts);
[tau,v] = ode23s(@vector_field_sigma, [0 Tf], z0,opts);

% the angles are 2-pi periodic
u(:,2)=mod(u(:,2),2*pi);
u(:,4)=mod(u(:,4),2*pi);
u(:,6)=mod(u(:,6),2*pi);
v(:,2)=mod(v(:,2),2*pi);
v(:,4)=mod(v(:,4),2*pi);
v(:,6)=mod(v(:,6),2*pi);

% the solution
z=vertcat(flip(u),v);
t=vertcat(flip(s),tau);

Then we used the following code for the analysis of a single orbit.

clear
close all
format shorte
[mi,eps,sigma,m0,mp,m,Mp,M,nCt]=constants_sigma();
% case delta=0.5
L=sqrt(2);
G=.4;
a0=L^2/(M*m^2);
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));

%% The Solution
z0=[L 3. G 1. 0 r 2.];
%z0=[L 3 .5 3. 1e-6 100];
[z,t]=sol_sigma(z0,-3e10,3e10);
pos=zeros(3,length(z));
posprimo=zeros(3,length(z));

for i=1:length(z)
    pos(:,i)=x(z(i,:));
posprimo(:,i)=xp(z(i,:));
end

%% Spatial Configuration
figure
hold on
% yellow circle in the origin for the first body
th = 0:pi/50:2*pi;
xunit = .02 * cos(th);
yunit = .02 * sin(th);
zunit= zeros(1,101);
fill3(xunit, yunit,zunit,'Yellow');
% second body
plot3(posprimo(1,:),posprimo(2,:),posprimo(3,:),'b.','MarkerSize',1)
plot3(pos(1,:),pos(2,:),pos(3,:),'r.','MarkerSize',1)
daspect([1 1 1])
legend('I body', 'II body', 'III body')
hold off

%% The various plots
figure('rend','painters','pos',[250 100 1100 500])
% L
subplot(2,6,1)
plot(t,z(:,1),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('L')
ylim([-L-.5 L+.5])
title('L')
% l
subplot(2,6,2)
plot(t,z(:,2)-sqrt(1-z(:,3).^2./z(:,1).^2).*sin(z(:,2))...'
','.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
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```matlab
xlabel('time')
ylabel('l')
title('l')

% G
subplot(2,6,3)
plot(t,z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('G')
% ylim([-L L])
title('G')

% g
subplot(2,6,4)
plot(t,z(:,4),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('g')
title('g')
% ylim([-1 1])

% R
subplot(2,6,5)
plot(t,z(:,5),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('R')
% ylim([-1,1])

% r
subplot(2,6,6)
plot(t,z(:,6),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('r')
% ylim([0,2])

% L-l
subplot(2,6,[7 8])
plot(z(:,1),z(:,2)-sqrt(1-z(:,3).^2./z(:,1).^2).*sin(z(:,2))...,
     '.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
title('L-l')
xlabel('L')
ylabel('l')
xlim([L-.5 L+.5])

% g-G
```
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```matlab
% Conservation of Energy along an orbit
v0=ones(1,length(z)).*H3Bs(z0); % the initial value
v=zeros(1,length(z));
for i=1:length(z)
    v(i)=H3Bs(z(i,:));
end
err=norm(v0-v);
delta0=z0(6)/a0; % the initial value
fprintf('\n ERRORE: %3e \n DELTA: %3f \n',err,delta0)
```

### A.3 Phase Portraits

In order to get the phase portraits reported in this thesis we used the following codes.

The function with which we declared the value of the constants is the following.

```matlab
function ...
[mi,eps,sigma,m0,mp,m,Mp,M,nCt]=constants.phaseportrait()
```
We modified the values of the parameters \( m_i, \epsilon \) and \( \sigma \) in this function according to our various attempts.

Next is reported the code used to draw the phase portrait for the two centre problem for \( \delta = 0.505 \) and for \( \delta = 1.515 \).

```plaintext
% This Script gives a phase portrait in the plane g-G
% for the two centre problem. Varying the parameter sigma
% and accordingly the integration times yields to the
% phase portrait for the hierarchical three body problem.

clear
close all
format shorte

%% Constants
[mi,eps,sigma,m0,mp,Mp,M;nCT]=constants.phaseportrait();

%% case delta=0.505
L=sqrt(2);
a0=L^2/(M*m^2);

%% The Phase Portrait
figure
hold on

G=.1;
r=eps^2.*((nCT-G)^2./(Mp*mp^2));
z0=[L 3. G 3. 0 r 2.];
```
APPENDIX A. CODE

```matlab
[26] [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -4e10, 1.6e10);
27 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2)
28
29 G = .3;
30 r = eps^2.*( (nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2) );
31 z0 = [L 3. G 3. 0 r 2. ];
32 [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -5e10, 5e10);
33 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2, 'Color', 'Black')
34
35 G = .5;
36 r = eps^2.*( (nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2) );
37 z0 = [L 3. G 3. 0 r 2. ];
38 [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -4.3e10, 2.5e10);
39 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2, 'Color', 'Black')
40
41 G = .7;
42 r = eps^2.*( (nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2) );
43 z0 = [L 3. G 3. 0 r 2. ];
44 [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -4.3e10, 2.5e10);
45 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2, 'Color', 'Black')
46
47 G = .9;
48 r = eps^2.*( (nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2) );
49 z0 = [L 3. G 3. 0 r 2. ];
50 [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -3.5e10, 8e9);
51 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2, 'Color', 'Black')
52
53 G = 1.1;
54 r = eps^2.*( (nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2) );
55 z0 = [L 3. G 3. 0 r 2. ];
56 [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -1.35e10, 8e9);
57 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2, 'Color', 'Black')
58
59 G = 1.3;
60 r = eps^2.*( (nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2) );
61 z0 = [L 3. G 3. 0 r 2. ];
62 [z, ~] = sol_sigma_phaseportr(z0, -1.35e10, 8e9);
63 plot(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.', 'MarkerSize', 2, 'Color', 'Black')
64
```
A.3. PHASE PORTRAITS

65 \( G = -1.3; \)
66 \( r = \varepsilon^2 \cdot ((nCt - G)^2 / (M_p \cdot m_p^2)); \)
67 \( z_0 = [L \ 3. \ G \ 3. \ 0 \ r \ 2.]; \)
68 \( [z, t] = \text{sol\_sigma\_phaseportr}(z_0, -4e10, 1e10); \)
69 \( \text{plot}(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.','MarkerSize', 2,'Color','Black'); \)
70
71 \( G = -L^+.1; \)
72 \( r = \varepsilon^2 \cdot ((nCt - G)^2 / (M_p \cdot m_p^2)); \)
73 \( z_0 = [L \ 3. \ G \ 3. \ 0 \ r \ 2.]; \)
74 \( [z, t] = \text{sol\_sigma\_phaseportr}(z_0, -1e10, 1e10); \)
75 \( \text{plot}(z(:, 4), z(:, 3), '.','MarkerSize', 2,'Color','Black'); \)
76
77 \( \text{axis}([0 \ 2*\pi \ -L \ L]) \)

1 \% This Script gives a phase portrait in the plane g-G
2 \% for the two centre problem. Varing the parameter sigma
3 \% and accordingly the integration times yields to the
4 \% phase portrait for the hierarchical three.body problem.
5
6 clear
7 close all
8 format short e
9
10 \% Constants
11 \( [m, \varepsilon, \sigma, \sigma, m_0, M_p, m, M, nCt] = \text{constants\_sigma\_two\_centre}(); \)
12 \% case delta=1.515
13 \( L = \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3}; \)
14 \( a_0 = L^2 / (M \cdot m^2); \)
15
16 \% The Phase Portrait
17 \( f1 = \text{figure}; \)
18 \( \text{hold on} \)
19
20 \( G = .1; \)
21 \( r = \varepsilon^2 \cdot ((nCt - G)^2 / (M_p \cdot m_p^2)); \)
22 \( z_0 = [L \ 3. \ G \ 3. \ 0 \ r \ 2.]; \)
23 \( [z, t] = \text{sol\_sigma\_two\_centre}(z_0, -3e10, 1.6e10); \)
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=.3;
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
z0=[L 3. G 3. 0 r 2.];
[z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-2e10,1.5e10);
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=.7;
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
z0=[L 3. G 3. 0 r 2.];
[z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-6e9,7.5e9);
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=.8;
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
z0=[L 3. G 3. 0 r 2.];
[z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-2.61e9,4.5e9);
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=.45;
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
z0=[L 3. G .05 0 r 2.];
[z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-1e9,1e9);
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=-.45;
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
z0=[L 3. G .05 0 r 2.];
[z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-1e9,1e9);
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=.6;
r=eps^2.*((nCt-G)^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
z0=[L 3. G .05 0 r 2.];
[z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-5e7,5e7);
plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')

G=-.6;
A.3. PHASE PORTRAITS

64 r=eps^2.*((nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
65 z0=[L 3. G .05 0 r 2.];
66 [z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-5e7,5e7);
67 plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
68
69 G=.5;
70 r=eps^2.*((nCt-G).^2./(Mp.*mp.^2));
71 z0=[L 3. G 2.8 0 r 2.];
72 [z,~]=sol_sigma_two_centre(z0,-6.2e9,1.6e10);
73 plot(z(:,4),z(:,3),'.','MarkerSize',2,'Color','Black')
74
75 axis([0 2*pi -L L])
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